

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 2368 of 1987

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE S.K.KESHOTE

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

-----  
MANISHA M PANDIT

Versus

GUJARAT UNIVERSITY

-----  
Appearance:

None present for Petitioner

None present for Respondent

-----  
CORAM : MR.JUSTICE S.K.KESHOTE

Date of decision: 18/10/96

ORAL JUDGMENT

When the matter was called out for hearing in the first round, Ms.Kalpana Brahmbhatt put appearance on behalf of the petitioner and she made a statement that the papers of the case are not available. She prayed for giving of the Court papers for preparing the case. The Court's paper were given to Ms.Kalpana Brahmbhatt and the matter was kept back. Then the matter was called out for hearing in the second round and lastly in the third

round, but neither Ms.Kalpna Brahmbhatt nor anybody else has appeared for petitioner. Perused the file.

2. The petitioner filed this Special Civil Application praying therein to direct the respondent to allow her to appear in T.Y. B.Com examination to be held in June 1987. By way of interim relief, it has been prayed that the respondent No.2 be directed to give her provisional admission in T.Y. B.Com and further directing the respondent No.2 to allow the petitioner to join the study in T.Y.B.Com.

3. This writ petition has come up for admission before this Court on 25.5.87, on which date notice was issued. Then it has come up for admission on 17.6.87 on which date leave to amend was granted. The Court has issued Rule to the respondent and respondent No.2 was directed to give admission to the petitioner in T.Y.B.Com. The petitioner's prayer to allow her to appear in October 1987 examination was ordered to be considered at the time of hearing of the petition. Thereafter, I do not find anything on record whether the petitioner was allowed to appear in examination or not. It is a matter of admission of a student in T.Y.B.Com of the year 1987 and by now she would have completed all of her studies. Nobody is here to give out whether the petitioner has now any substantial grievance in this matter or not.

4. In the result, this Special Civil Application is dismissed and Rule discharged. However liberty to the petitioner to move this Court in case of difficulty in respect of cause of action with which she has come up before this Court in this Special Civil Application. No order as to costs.

.....

(sunil)